# **WETLANDS FIELD FORM**

# SITE DESCRIPTION

Site ID:	Site Name:			Field Staff:		
Site Identifica	ation and Char	acteristics				
Town:		Subbasin		Owne	rship::	
Aerial Photo(s	s) ID:	USGS Quad		Date:		
Area (sf): Nearest Rd:		Length: GPS (NAD 8	3) N	Width: W	<u></u>	
DEPWQ Classi	fication Associate	ed with Water Resou	ırce:			
General Site C	Conditions:					
Current Uses	/Activities within	n Potential Restora	tion Sito			
Parking Lot		Abandoned Indu		ng Borrow Ar	rea	
Fill	_	Active Agr.				
Hazardous Wa		ntial Sources of Cont		-		
Comment:						
Habitat Type	os Drosonti					
Habitat Type		Scrub-Shrub E	mergent	Onen Water		
		land Oldfield	_			
оріана.					atod	
Prodominant		eld Turf S			ned	
riedominant	nabitat types					
Vegetation						
Don	ninant Species				ındance ver of Area)	

# SITE DESCRIPTION

Invasives/I	Exotics with	in Resto	ration S	ite:							
Phragmites	P.Loose	estrife	E. Buckt	horn	Honeysı	uckle	Knot	weed	Barbe	erry	
Milfoil	Chestnut	Fanwor	t	Pondwe	eed	Naiad		Hydrilla			
Other:		_									
Comments:											
Wildlife Inc	licators Wit	hin Rest	oration :	Site:							
Beaver Pon Pools	d Snags			Stumps		Sandy B	anks			Rookery	Vernal
Logs	Cavitie	S		Burrows	5	Mud flat	ts	Sandy Bead	ch	Nest boxe	S
Islands	Persiste	nt open	waters in	n Winter		Other _					
Wildlife Obs	erved:										

### **ADJACENT AREA DESCRIPTION**

### Surrounding Land Use (within 300 feet) of Restoration Area

Developed	%	Undeveloped	%
Residential		Upland Forest	
Dense (< 1/2 acre lots)		Deciduous	
Moderate (1/2 to one acre lots)		Mixed	
Light (> one acre lots)		Coniferous	
Commercial		Wetlands	
Industrial		Forested	
Agricultural		Scrub-Shrub	
Manicured Park or Turf		Emergent	
Abandoned (Brownfield)		Surface Waters	
Other:		Abandoned Field	
		Shrubland (upland)	
		Other:	
TOTAL		TOTAL	

# Wildlife Indicators Adjacent to Restoration Site (within 300 feet):

Beaver Pond Pools	Snags		Stumps	Sandy Bank	S	Rookery	Vernal
Logs	Cavities		Burrows	Mud flats	Sandy Beach	Nest boxes	S
Islands	Persister	nt open waters in	Winter	Other			
Wildlife Observed:							_
Invasives/Ex	otics with	in 300' of Restor	ration Site:				
Phragmites P.L	oosestrife	E. Buckthorn	Honeysuckle	Knotweed	Barberry		
Milfoil Ch	estnut	Fanwort	Pondweed	Naiad	Hydrilla		
Other:							
Comments:							

Nonpoint Pollution Adjacent to Restoration Site (within 300 feet)								
Ag/crops	Ag/tilled	Ag/animals	impervious (roadways, parking lots, etc)	Septic				
CSO's	Golf Course	Construction Sites	Roadway Outfalls Industrial Outfalls					
Closest Roadwa	ay							

ADJACENT	AREA	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
	SITE I.	.D. #

Comments/Notes/Sketches:

# SITE ANALYSIS

Rev. 11/2/99

# Current Impairments (circle the appropriate value for each factor)

Factor	Low	Medium	High
Points	1	2	3
Coverage of Exotics	< 5 %	6-25%	> 25 %
Exotic/Invasive Plants	Few (1 species)	Some (2-3 species)	Many (>3 species)
% Cover Fill Material	< 5%	6 - 25%	> 25%
Erosion	Little (visible, but no impact observable)	Moderate (visible with impact visible)	Considerable (clear impact/ degradation)
Sedimentation	Little (visible, but no impact observable)	Moderate (visible with impact visible)	Considerable (clear impact/ degradation)
Outfall	None	1 Present	>1 Present
Evidence of Illegal Dumping	No Evidence	Some Evidence	Considerable Evidence
Hazardous Waste Sites within or adjacent to the site	None	Localized Contamination within the site	Widespread Contamination within the site
Adjacent Land Use	Light/Undevelope d	Moderately Developed	Heavily Developed
Altered Hydrology (ditches, berm, undersized culvert)	Not Present	Minor Impact	Moderate to Severe Impact
Non-Point Pollution Sources	0-1 Sources	2-3 Sources	>3 Sources
Landscape Position	Contiguous with natural habitat on all sides.	Partially isolated from natural habitat by development.	Largely isolated from natural habitat by development
Off-Road Vehicle Use	None	Some	Heavy

Total Score for Impairments = Impairment Rank: (circle)	Low 13-21,	Medium 22-30,High 31-39	
Description of Impairments:			
Potential Solutions:			

W-5

Blackstone River Feasibility Study

SITE ANALYSIS
SITE I.D. #

Rev. 11/2/99

#### SITE ANALYSIS

#### Indicators of Potential Benefits of Restoration

- Circle all benefits that apply to the potential restoration site and enter into score box.
- Add up total number of circles to determine ranking: Few 0-11, Some 12-22, Many 23-34.
- Apply ranking to the Quality of Restoration Opportunity Table found on page 7 of this form.

Water Quality (including nutrient/toxicant reduction): potential restoration site in the box to the left)	(Enter the # of factors that apply to the
1. Wetland is upstream of a public water supply	y.

- 2. The restored site can be designed to have a seasonally or permanently flooded or permanently saturated water regime.
- 3. Site has a low gradient (is relatively flat) or could be restored to a low gradient wetland.
- Once restored channel flow through the wetland is likely to, or can be designed to be likely to overtop the banks of a channel and come into contact with the surrounding vegetated wetland.
- 5. Once restored the site could support dense emergent or woody vegetation
- 6. Restoration will reduce impacts from non point sources containing sediment, nutrients or toxicants.
- 7. Restoration will reduce impacts from point source discharge(s)

8.	Other

Fisheries/Wildlife Habitat:(Enter the # of factors that apply to the potential restoration site in the box to the left)

- 1. Restored site will increase the diversity of natural habitat types in the local area.
- 2. Restored site improves the connectivity of one wildlife habitat area to another by improving or widening a corridor between two or more unaffected sites.
- Site is located within or adjacent to an identified habitat for rare species or a priority natural community as identified in the 1999-2001 Massachusetts Natural Heritage Atlas.
- 4. Restored site will provide waterfowl nesting/brood habitat.
- 5. Restored site will provide fisheries habitat (isolated pond > 3 ft. deep)
- 6. Restored site is adjacent to pond or stream and will provide fish spawning/juvenile fish habitat.
- 7. Restored site will contain a vernal pool

Other			

Flood Control: (Enter the # of factors that apply to the potential restoration site in the box to the left)

- 1. Site watershed contains a high degree of impervious surface and the restored site will help offset this.
- 2. Site has a low gradient (flat) or could be restored to a low gradient wetland to increase flood storage potential.
- 3. The wetland is associated with one or more watercourses.
- 4. Site either has a constricted outlet or could be provided with a constricted outlet in the restored condition.

ţ	ο. '	Other	

SITE ANALYSIS
SITE I.D. #

SIT	E ANALYSIS		
lent <b>)</b>	Recreation:	(Enter the # of factors that apply to the potential restoration site in the box to the	
	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. <b>Groundwate</b>	Site is part of or adjacent to a recreation area, park, forest or refuge and is accessible to the public for recreation.  Fishing could be available adjacent to the site once it has been restored.  Hunting could be permitted on the site once it has been restored  Hiking may occur once the site has been restored  The site will provide opportunities for wildlife observation and study.  Off-road public parking will be available near the restoration site.  Otherer Recharge/Discharge:	
	(En	ter the # of factors that apply to the potential restoration site in the box to the left)	
	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Public or private wells occur downstream of the site.  The restored site will have gravel or sandy soils in/or adjacent to the site.  Restored wetland will contain only an outlet.  Signs of groundwater discharge are present (e.g. springs).  The restored wetland will exhibit signs of variable water levels.  Other	
Ran Ind •	icators of Pot	POTENTIAL BENEFITS = (Few 0-11, Some 12-22, Many 23-34)  ential Negative Impacts of Restoration  s that apply to the potential restoration site.	
		mber of check marks to determine ranking: Few 0-2, Some 3-5, Many 6-8. o the Quality of Restoration Opportunity Table found on page 7 of this form.	
	Access to a	djacent areas will be eliminated by restoration project.	
	Existing fisheries or wildlife habitat will be adversely impacted by the restoration project.		
	Historic Structures will be impacted by the project.		
	Rare Specie	es and/or their habitat will be impacted by project.	
	Agricultural land will be lost as a result of the restoration project.		
	Industrial/Commercial use of the area will be adversely impacted by the project.		
	The restorat	ion project could increase the risk of local flooding.	
	Other		
		OF POTENTIAL NEGATIVE IMPACTS = (Few 0-2, Some 3-5, Many 6-8)	

#### **Potential Indicators of Cost**

- Check all boxes that apply to the potential restoration site.
- Add up total number of check marks and multiply by the appropriate size factor rating (SFR) for the site:

Site Size 1-5 acres = 1 SFR, 6-10 acres = 2 SFR, 11+ acres = 3 SFR.

- Insert this number into the appropriate ranking: Low 0-6, Medium 7-14, High 15-27
- Apply ranking to the Quality of Restoration Opportunity Table found on page 6 of this form.

	Ownership (e.g., site is located on private property).		
	Construction Access (e.g., access difficult, site more than 100 ft. from existing road).		
	<b>Regrading</b> (e.g., substantial regrading work is necessary for the potential restoration project).		
site).	Fill Removal (e.g., substantial amount of fill must be dredged or excavated and removed from the		
	Revegetation (e.g., a significant planting and/or seeding effort is necessary for the project.)		
	<b>Maintenance Needs</b> (e.g., the potential restoration site will require regular maintenance work following the completion of the project).		
	Removal of Structures (e.g., on-site structures must be removed with heavy machinery).		
	Hazardous Waste (e.g., on-site hazardous waste clean-up will be required as part of restoration activities).		
	Other		
TOTAL NUMBER OF POTENTIAL INDICATORS OF COST =  Ranking = (Low 0-6, Medium 7-14, High 15-27)			

### **Quality of Restoration Opportunities (circle)**

Factor*	Low	Medium	High
	1	2	3
Potential Benefits	Few	Some	Many
Potential Negative Impacts	Many	Some	Few
Potential Costs	High	Medium	Low
Size of Wetland	Small	Medium	Large
Restoration Site	(1-5 acres)	(6-10 acres)	(>10 acres)

Total Score for Restoration Opportunities = Restoration Opportunity Rank: (circle)

Low 4 - 6,

Medium 7 - 9, High 10 -12

	SITE I.D. #
Notes:	

SITE ANALYSIS